

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,804	02/04/2004	Wilfred J. Samson	CARDE.63292	3336
27629 759 FULWIDER PAT	02/05/2007 TON LEE & UTECHT,	LLP	EXAMINER	
200 OCEANGAT	E, SUITE 1550	<i>55</i> .	MARCETICH, ADAM M	
LONG BEACH, (CA 90802		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	<i>,</i>		3761	
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONT	HS	02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
	·					
Office Action Summary	10/771,804	SAMSON ET AL.				
omoo Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Adam Marcetich	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	nur ure correspondence addres	··			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	April 2005.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.	from consideration.					
Application Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in <i>i</i> iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 26 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 13 November 2006. Claims 1-25 are considered as the elected invention.

Priority

- 2. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:
- 3. The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).
- 4. The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 10/102124, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. Abandoned application

Art Unit: 3761

10/102124 lacks the disclosure of an apparatus having side-by-side supply and return lumens. Application 10/102124 also lacks a needle valve. Therefore, priority of the prior application is not given.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: continuity data should be updated by the applicant on the first page of the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said distal end." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,804

Art Unit: 3761

9. Claims 1-4, 9, 11-13 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saab (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0045852).

Page 4

- 10. Regarding claim 1, Saab discloses a distal port (Fig. 3, element 70 and paragraph [0053]). Saab also discloses delivering fluid to the surrounding tissue (Fig. 6, elements 252 and 254 and paragraphs [0037] and [0059]).
- 11. Regarding claims 2 and 15, Saab discloses the lumens as coaxially arranged (Fig. 3 and paragraph [0043]).
- 12. Regarding claim 3, Saab discloses the lumen as being disposed within the return lumen (Fig. 3, elements 64 and 68 and paragraph [0065]).
- 13. Regarding claims 4 and 16, Saab discloses a valve for adjusting both the first and second fluid flow portions (paragraph [0046]).
- 14. Regarding claim 9, Saab discloses the flow in the supply lumen as being generated by positive pressure in the supply lumen (paragraph [0055]).
- 15. Regarding claim 11, Saab discloses a catheter for cooling or heating tissue (paragraph [0023]), comprising an inner lumen for delivering fluid (Fig. 3, element 64 and paragraph [0053]) and an outer lumen for fluid return (Fig. 3, element 68 and paragraph [0053]).
- 16. Regarding claim 12, Saab discloses the second flow as containing portions of the first flow (Fig. 3, elements 64 and 68).
- 17. Regarding claim 13, Saab discloses the second flow as being directed away from the patient's tissue (Fig. 3, element 68).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,804 Page 5

Art Unit: 3761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 19. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 20. Claims 5-7 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saab in view of Baran (US Patent 6,729,334). Saab solves the problem of cooling a body region with a catheter.
- 21. Regarding claims 5, 7, 17, 18, 19, Saab lacks a valve at the distal end of the catheter. Baran discloses a needle valve at the end of a catheter (Fig. 19, elements 284 and 286 and column 17, lines 14-19) for the purpose of adjusting medication delivery (column 17, lines 19-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the catheter of Saab with the needle valve of Baran in order to regulate medication delivery as called for by Baran.
- 22. Regarding claim 6, Saab lacks a valve adjustable from the proximal end of the catheter. Baran discloses a needle valve adjustable from the proximal end (Fig. 19,

Application/Control Number: 10/771,804

Art Unit: 3761

element 293 and column 17, lines 9-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the catheter of Saab with the needle valve of Baran in order to regulate medication delivery as called for by Baran.

Page 6

- 23. Claims 8 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saab and Baran in view of Chin (US Patent 4,318,410). Saab and Baran lack a slide valve. Chin discloses catheter having a slide valve (column 2, lines 39-44 and column 3, line 48, claim 1). Chin solves the problem of placing a catheter within the body and injecting liquid (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the catheter of Sabb and Baran as discussed with the slide valve of Chin in order to provide a means of controlling the administration of cooling fluid.
- 24. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saab in view of Stevens et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0044591). Saab lacks negative pressure assiting fluid in the return lumen. Stevens discloses a cardioplegia catheter (abstract) which can be used to apply negative pressure (paragraph [0032]). The negative pressure can also be used to return blood or fluid to the pumping system after it has cooled (paragraph [0165]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 10/771,804

Art Unit: 3761

combine the catheter of Saab with the negative pressure of Stevens in order to provide a means of returning fluid to the pumping system as called for by Stevens.

Page 7

- Claims 14 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 25. over Saab in view of Epstein (US Patent 6,471,667).
- Regarding claim 14, Saab lacks a catheter that delivers a difference between first 26. and second flows to tissue. Epstein discloses a medical suctioning device that delivers adhesive while applying variable suctioning to remove clotted adhesive (column 6, lines 10-25 and Fig. 1, elements 10, 12, 14 and 16). The remainder of adhesive not aspirated back into the device remains on the patient (Fig. 1, element 16). Epstein solves the problem of administering and aspirating a substance from a patient for the purpose of preventing excess accumulation (column 6, line 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the catheter of Saab with the variable suction of Epstein in order to prevent excess accumulation as called for by Epstein.
- 27. Regarding claim 21, Saab discloses a catheter for exchanging heat with tissue, having lumens for delivering and returning fluid as discussed in paragraph 12 above. Saab lacks a portion of flow expelled into tissue. Epstein discloses a medical suctioning device having both delivery and suction lumens as discussed in paragraph 26 above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the catheter of Saab with the suctioning and aspiration of Epstein. With respect to rationale and motivation, see paragraph 26 above.

Art Unit: 3761

- 28. Regarding claim 22, Saab lacks an adjustable flow of expelled fluid. Epstein discloses adjustable suction for the purpose of regulating how much adhesive remains on the patient (column 6, lines 10-25). With respect to rationale, see paragraph 26 above.
- 29. Regarding claim 23, Saab discloses a cooling catheter having supply fluid conducted towards the patient and return fluid conducted away from the patient (Fig. 3, elements 64 and 68 respectively). Saab lacks adjusting the portion of fluid expelled from the catheter. Epstein discloses adjustable suction as discussed in paragraph 26 above. With respect to motivation, see paragraph 26 above.
- 30. Regarding claims 24 and 25, Saab discloses a cooling catheter having supply and return lumens as discussed in paragraph 3 above. Fluid flowing towards the patient is surrounded by fluid returning from the patient. Since heat needs to pass through the returning flow of fluid before reaching the inner supply flow, the outer return flow effectively insulates the inner supply flow.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam Marcetich whose telephone number is 571-272-2590. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3761

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adam Marcetich Examiner Art Unit 3761

adam Marcetish

AMM 1/30/07

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY E